

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Also present,

**FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS**                      **Call to Order and Roll Call**

## SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS      Adoption of the Agenda

**THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Shade Session**

## FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Audience Comments

A resident addressed concerns regarding the community pool. The resident stated that the pool is funded by the residents and expressed concern that there is no noticeable chlorine smell,

47 the presence of mold, and dirty pool furniture. The resident also mentioned having moved into the  
48 community four years ago and emphasized ongoing concerns about the cleanliness of the pool.

49 The resident also raised concerns about trees hanging over the road and sidewalk. The  
50 resident inquired about the protocol for trimming these trees and questioned why community  
51 residents are responsible for keeping the sidewalks clean.

52 The resident urged the Board to honor the agreement between the Board, Inframark, and  
53 the community to maintain shared spaces and, above all, to prioritize safety within the community.  
54 The resident expressed frustration that concerns are repeatedly ignored, requests are dismissed,  
55 and expected standards are consistently disregarded. Additionally, the resident referenced ongoing  
56 discussions regarding Inframark's performance and inquired about the Board's consensus on  
57 potential alternatives. The resident asked what efforts have been made to explore other options,  
58 including research into how other Community Development Districts are managed and how  
59 alternative management companies are performing.

60 A resident expressed deep concern regarding the current state of the Community  
61 Development District. The resident stated that after living in the community for three years, there  
62 has been a noticeable decline from what was originally presented at the time of purchase. The  
63 resident cited a lack of follow-up and accountability, noting that while annual assessments  
64 continue to increase, expectations are not being met. The resident referenced an issue involving a  
65 property owner behind East Lake, where the management company was supposed to send a letter  
66 to secure a gate. The resident stated that there was never any follow-up, and the gate remains open  
67 as summer begins. Concerns were also raised regarding the safety of residents in the 55+ Lakes  
68 area, especially given past incidents. The resident noted that a project board was supposed to be  
69 established but, despite two Boards and three District Managers, no such board exists, and there  
70 appears to be no tracking or management of ongoing projects, resulting in many being forgotten.

71 Additionally, the resident reported that the sidewalks remain unclean, the easements are in  
72 poor condition, and the ponds and lakes are in deteriorating condition. The resident concluded by  
73 thanking the Board for addressing the issue concerning the shed.

74 A resident raised concerns about delays in processing payments related to the garden lot  
75 rental. The resident stated that dues are collected in October and are always submitted via check,  
76 never in cash, yet it takes approximately four months for the checks to be cashed. The resident also  
77 noted issues with the reimbursement process for garden-related purchases. Two invoices were  
78 submitted this year; the first was paid promptly in December. However, the second invoice,  
79 submitted in January for \$82, resulted in a check for \$102, which matched the amount of the first

80 invoice. The resident returned the incorrect check to the finance department along with a letter and  
81 supporting documentation.

82 The resident further explained that the same information was subsequently given to Mr.  
83 Neal and later discussed with Mr. Gonzalez in March, at which point the resident felt dismissed.  
84 In April, Mr. Gonzalez followed up by email requesting the documentation be resubmitted. After  
85 an unsuccessful attempt to open the submitted file, the resident copied and pasted the information  
86 into an email, which was also unsuccessful. At the end of April, Mr. Gonzalez picked up a hard  
87 copy of the documentation from the resident's home. As of the date of the meeting, the resident  
88 reported that the check had still not been received. The resident concluded by expressing concern  
89 that if a small payment of \$82 cannot be processed in a timely manner, there may be larger issues  
90 regarding the handling of hundreds of thousands or even millions of dollars.

91 A resident shared a serious concern regarding sidewalk hazards within the community. The  
92 resident explained that her grandson suffered an abrasion to his leg after falling due to the poor  
93 condition of the sidewalk. She noted that her grandson has a history of a hemorrhagic stroke, which  
94 has resulted in right-side weakness. She expressed deep concern that, had he struck his head during  
95 the fall, the outcome could have been much more severe. The resident stated that she had finally  
96 encouraged him to go outside and enjoy his new tricycle, which is an e-bike, as he is unable to  
97 balance on a regular bicycle. She had also encouraged him to walk and go to the pool, but now,  
98 after sustaining an injury, he is discouraged. While acknowledging that work is currently being  
99 done on the sidewalks, the resident emphasized that proper and consistent maintenance in the past  
100 could have prevented such a dangerous situation.

101 A resident stated agreement with the majority of comments made during the meeting but  
102 also raised several specific concerns. The resident noted a conversation with a neighbor in North  
103 Lake who expressed frustration over the lack of maintenance of the grass just outside the North  
104 Lake gate. The resident described the area as being in poor condition, stating that the vegetation is  
105 so overgrown that the signage reading "North Lake" is no longer visible. The resident added that,  
106 based on recent information, it appears the CDD may not be responsible for maintaining North  
107 Lake, which caused confusion as it was previously believed to be within the CDD's  
108 responsibilities.

109 The resident also mentioned frequently walking around the Enclave area and observed that  
110 the weeds in the lakes there are approximately nine feet tall, with no apparent maintenance. The  
111 resident expressed uncertainty regarding responsibility for maintaining the lakes and landscaped  
112 areas in front of neighborhoods within Harmony, particularly in areas like North Lake and Enclave.



113 In addition, the resident raised continued concern about the presence of trailers and  
114 campers. The resident stated that if one drives east of the town square, there are numerous trailers  
115 and campers visible, indicating that this issue remains unaddressed.

116 A resident addressed the Board to speak on an issue that has been a continued concern  
117 since stepping down from the Board approximately a year and a half ago. The resident noted  
118 having spoken with most Board Members individually after their departure and attended the  
119 meeting knowing that the topic of Harmony and the management company would be a point of  
120 discussion.

121 The resident provided historical context, explaining that the current management company  
122 is a continuation of the original structure. Initially, the District was managed by a single individual,  
123 which later transitioned to Severn Trent, and was eventually acquired by Inframark. For many  
124 years, the scope of service was limited to financial management and meeting coordination. The  
125 resident noted that, during that time, the District's attorney often strongly recommended—if not  
126 insisted—that field services also be placed under the management company's oversight. This  
127 transition occurred several years ago.

128 Reflecting on their time on the Board, the resident expressed persistent dissatisfaction and  
129 frustration with the management company, including the handling of field services. Particular  
130 concern was voiced about the last two budgets the resident was involved with, citing missed  
131 deadlines, the exclusion of certain communities—errors that should have been caught even if  
132 initially omitted by the county—and improper allocation of expenditures in the current budget.  
133 The resident stated that the budget reviewed for the present meeting showed spending not properly  
134 assigned to the appropriate line items, underscoring ongoing concerns with oversight and accuracy.

135 A resident addressed the Board with several concerns, beginning with the condition of the  
136 sidewalks. The resident, who has two young children, described the sidewalks as uneven and  
137 compared walking through the neighborhood to riding a roller coaster. The resident also noted that  
138 when it rains, water accumulates in the dips along the sidewalks, leading to the growth of mold  
139 and creating slippery conditions.

140 The resident then addressed the issue of playground mulch, acknowledging that it has since  
141 been resolved but expressing frustration with how long it took to address. The resident criticized  
142 the need for community members to go online and publicly request action for basic maintenance  
143 issues, stating that residents pay a substantial amount to live in the community and should not have  
144 to advocate for routine upkeep. The resident emphasized that the CDD should proactively address  
145 needs such as mulching the playgrounds without waiting for complaints.



146 The resident concluded by expressing disappointment in what was perceived as general  
147 inaction and a lack of visibility or communication from most Board Members. However, the  
148 resident did recognize Ms. Coronel, noting that she has been actively engaged with residents online  
149 and appears to be working to resolve issues. The resident encouraged better communication and  
150 accountability from all members of the Board.

151 A resident stated agreement with the concerns previously raised by other South Lakes  
152 residents but offered a different perspective regarding the community pools. The resident shared  
153 that she visits the main pool every morning from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. with a group for water  
154 aerobics, and also uses the Ashley Park pool when the main pool is unavailable. Based on this  
155 regular use, the resident noted having direct experience with the condition of the pools and the  
156 efforts made to maintain them.

157 The resident specifically commended Brad for being present every morning and diligently  
158 cleaning the pool area. However, she noted that there is no staff presence on weekends, which is  
159 when pool usage is highest. As a result, the pool was closed the day after Memorial Day due to the  
160 excessive mess left behind by residents. The resident reported that there was food in the pool,  
161 garbage throughout the area, and broken beer bottles, prompting the pool's closure for safety  
162 reasons. She and her group then used the Ashley Park pool instead.

163 The resident also brought attention to the location of the dog waste station near the pool  
164 entrance. She stated that the current placement results in an unpleasant odor when entering the  
165 pool area and requested that it be relocated to a more appropriate location along the sidewalk,  
166 where residents typically walk their dogs.

167 Lastly, the resident addressed community engagement, emphasizing that while social  
168 media platforms like Facebook are commonly used for discussions, residents should direct  
169 concerns or questions to the CDD Board through official communication channels such as email,  
170 as that is a more effective way to ensure their voices are heard.

171 A resident expressed concern regarding the ongoing justification that sidewalk  
172 maintenance is delayed due to the community having 22 miles of sidewalks. The resident stated  
173 that while the length may be significant, it should not serve as a continual excuse for inaction.  
174 Despite walking every day, morning, afternoon, and evening, on various routes, the resident  
175 reported never having seen a single worker performing maintenance. The resident emphasized that  
176 this observation was made while actively looking for signs of work. The resident added that the  
177 only individual her boyfriend has ever observed was someone sitting under a tree on a four-  
178 wheeler, apparently using a phone. These observations raised concerns about oversight and

179 whether the services that have been approved and paid for are actually being performed. The  
180 resident voiced frustration that funds are being spent without any visible return, a sentiment she  
181 believed was likely shared by many others in the community.

182 Additionally, the resident raised a safety concern about vehicles parking in the bike lane in  
183 front of the park, particularly near the roundabout between Cat Brier and just before Indian Grass.  
184 The resident acknowledged that this is a county-owned road but urged the Board to work  
185 collaboratively with the HOA to install signage or implement other measures to discourage this  
186 practice. The resident stressed that parking in the bike lane is not just inconvenient but presents a  
187 serious safety hazard, as it forces drivers to swerve into traffic around the roundabout. She added  
188 that it is particularly frustrating because parking spaces are available just across the street, often  
189 left empty while individuals park unsafely to access the park or basketball courts.

190 A resident raised concerns regarding the chemical levels in the community pool, suggesting  
191 that this may be contributing to the issues noted by other residents. The resident shared that her  
192 son required an urgent care visit due to chemical exposure in the pool, which caused significant  
193 eye irritation to the extent that he needed to apply ice baths to his eyes. The resident stated that  
194 this has occurred more than once. While they were able to manage the symptoms at home after the  
195 initial incident, the resident emphasized that the problem appears to stem more from improper  
196 chemical balance than from a lack of cleaning or maintenance efforts.

197 A resident addressed concerns about the area to the east of their home, noting that it has  
198 been the subject of prior complaints. The resident emphasized the need for landscaping in that area  
199 and stated that the issue has persisted since the home was purchased, which they believe was in  
200 2019. The resident described the condition of the area as an embarrassment and expressed a desire  
201 to take pride in the community without feeling ashamed of its appearance. The resident also noted  
202 that the cost to resolve the issue is minimal, estimating that only a few pallets of sod would be  
203 required. They explained that a pallet of sod costs approximately \$240 and contains four cubic feet  
204 of material, rolled and ready for installation.

205 A resident, who has lived in the community for 21 years and previously served on the  
206 Board, requested clarification regarding the current rules for sidewalk and tree maintenance. The  
207 resident stated that they personally pay for sidewalk repairs and to have their trees trimmed. The  
208 resident recalled that several years ago there was a community-wide tree trimming effort, but their  
209 property was overlooked, which was particularly concerning as they were trying to protect their  
210 roof.

The resident also addressed concerns raised by others about mold on the sidewalks. Based on their understanding, any sidewalk area located directly in front of a homeowner's property, between the sidewalk and the house, is the homeowner's responsibility. The resident added that, as far as they knew, tree maintenance responsibilities were divided: homeowners are responsible for the trees on their side of the sidewalk, while Harmony is responsible for the other side.

A resident stated that they had previously sent an email regarding concerns with the trees and grass in front of their property by the sidewalk. The resident acknowledged that after including Ms. Coronel in the email, someone promptly responded by trimming the trees, and expressed appreciation for that action. However, the resident noted that they have not yet received a response regarding the sprinkler system. According to the resident, the sprinklers have not operated for several years, which has resulted in the destruction of the sod in the front yard. In an effort to address the issue, the resident modified the sprinkler heads on their side of the property to redirect water toward the affected area, resulting in partial lawn growth extending toward the street.

A resident brought to the Board's attention an ongoing issue related to water pressure. The resident recalled that several months ago, Toho Water Authority throttled the community's water pressure to accommodate distribution across multiple neighborhoods. As a result, much of the landscaping suffered, with numerous plants dying due to lack of irrigation. The resident specifically highlighted a concern near the corner of Sebastian Bridge and Five Oaks, where a hedge, previously covering a large sprinkler pipe system essential to the community's water distribution, has died. The resident noted that the hedge has been in poor condition for approximately seven months, with only a single small sprig remaining on one branch, while the rest is completely dead. With hurricane season approaching, the resident requested that the dead hedge either be removed or replaced.

A resident inquired about whom to contact regarding the debris and overgrowth around the lakes in the Enclave area. The resident noted that there is approximately nine feet of vegetation growing around the lakes and expressed concern that when landscapers perform clean-up work, they are blowing debris directly into the lakes. The resident observed that this debris appears to be getting trapped in the overgrown vegetation. The resident requested clarification on the appropriate point of contact to address these concerns.

## **FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

### **Business Items**

#### **A. Consideration of Swartz Pool Furniture Specifications**

##### **i. Review of Kirby Side Chair**



- 244 ii. Review of Kirby Square Table
- 245 iii. Review of Frog Pensacola Chaise
- 246 iv. Review of Frog Side Table

247 **B. Consideration of Swartz Proposals**

- 248 i. Consideration of Option 1 Sling Proposal
- 249 ii. Consideration of Option 2 Strap Proposal
- 250 iii. Consideration of Option 3 Recycled Plastic Proposal
- 251 iv. Review of Swartz Flyer

252 Tim Hill from Schwartz Inc. addressed the Board and stated that he hoped they had the  
253 opportunity to review the proposals he submitted. Mr. Hill explained that he provided the proposals  
254 in response to being contacted regarding the pool furniture and noted that he was present to answer  
255 any questions the Board might have. Mr. Hill added that, given current industry practices,  
256 manufacturers and vendors typically do not provide extensive hard-copy materials and instead  
257 expect information to be accessed online. However, Mr. Hill did bring some catalogs, particularly  
258 featuring Frog Furnishings, which contain additional details for the Board's consideration.

259 Mr. Hill expressed a desire to follow the agenda and offered to proceed item by item,  
260 addressing any questions or comments the Board may have. Mr. Hill stated that he would be happy  
261 to provide clarification during the meeting or follow up with responses as quickly as possible.

262 The Board elected to table the proposals until they had an opportunity to review them in  
263 full.

264 **C. Consideration of RSR Proposals**

- 265 i. Consideration of Red Steel Sculpture Refurbished Proposal
- 266 ii. Consideration of Rusty Steel Sculpture Refurbished Proposal

267 Tony Reyes from RSR stated that the red structure has significantly worn down and is at  
268 risk of eventually collapsing. Mr. Reyes noted that the submitted proposal reflects the full scope  
269 of work required for the job. The Board elected to table this item until the next meeting and  
270 requested that it be added to the next agenda.

271  
272 **D. Consideration of Sun Deck and Dock LLC Proposals**

- 273 i. Consideration of Kayak Access Dock Proposal
- 274 ii. Consideration of Structural Reconstruction Proposal

275 The Board reviewed the proposal and decided to table the item for further consideration.

276  
277 **E. Discussion of Sidewalk Repair**

278 Mr. Gonzalez addressed the topic of sidewalk repairs, noting that the discussion was  
279 included on the agenda to ensure transparency and community awareness. Mr. Gonzalez stated  
280 that Inframark's maintenance staff is actively performing sidewalk grinding to address hazards

and minimize trip risks. Mr. Gonzalez acknowledged that more substantial repairs are desired by the community, as expressed in multiple meetings, and clarified that while Inframark is capable of performing those larger repairs, they would need to submit a proposal and be selected in the same manner as any other vendor.

Mr. Gonzalez confirmed that sidewalk grinding is the method currently being used by the maintenance team to mitigate immediate safety concerns. Mr. Gonzalez also acknowledged that there have been several documented incidents involving falls and injuries related to sidewalk conditions. Mr. Gonzalez concluded by stating that these mitigation efforts will continue until the Board reaches a decision regarding the scope of physical repairs and selects a vendor to perform the work.

#### **F. Consideration of Jago Pro Sidewalk Repair Phase 2 Proposal**

Karla Reyes from JAGO Pro discussed the proposal with the Board. After brief discussion, the Board voted to table the item.

#### **G. Consideration of Precision Sidewalk Safety Proposal**

Amanda Henson with Precision Sidewalk Safety reviewed the proposal for the Cat Brier bid. Following the presentation, the Board elected to table the item.

#### **H. Consideration of Inframark Sidewalk Audit Cat Brier**

Mr. Neal presented the sidewalk audit and explained that the Field Services team is responsible for sidewalk grinding. Mr. Neal noted that this is being handled through a phased approach, with priority given to the most hazardous areas, and that grinding is performed at a minimum of one day per week.

Mr. Neal then introduced the involvement of the Maintenance Solutions Division, a dedicated in-house team focused specifically on concrete work, including replacement panels. He stated that this team addresses the issues that the Field Services team cannot manage, particularly areas where panels have been undermined due to root intrusion or other causes.

The proposal presented focused on a specific section of Catbrier Trail, detailing the scope of work to include removal and replacement of damaged panels, as well as the assessment and cutting back of any root systems discovered beneath them. Mr. Neal emphasized that while root systems will inevitably regrow, this method provides a more long-term solution. Mr. Neal recommended a phased approach from a budgeting standpoint to address the issue over time. Following the presentation, the Board voted to table the proposal.

#### **I. Discussion of Solicitation of District Management Proposals**

This item was addressed during Supervisor Requests.

**SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS                      Staff Reports****A. United Land Services Landscaping Report**

Mr. Lomasney reviewed his monthly report and began by noting that the area experienced drought conditions last month, though not as severe as the previous year. He explained that the lakes were among the hardest-hit areas, largely due to the type of turf in place. While the turf may appear dead, it is actually in a dormant state caused by stress. Testing indicated that the turf is still healthy, and with additional rainfall, it is expected to return to full greenness within the next month, as it did last year.

Mr. Lomasney reported that the St. Augustine turf has reached a height of approximately four and a half inches, as reflected in the maintenance square. He confirmed that detailed mowing has now resumed on a weekly schedule.

Regarding irrigation, Mr. Lomasney noted that if sidewalks are lifted, it is typically due to root intrusion. He referenced a concern raised by a resident at 3309 Cat Brier who had previously noted that while a tree on the property had been trimmed, irrigation issues remained unresolved. Upon inspection, it was determined that the issue was not directly in front of 3309, but rather at 3356 Cat Brier. As of the date of the meeting, 25 feet of lateral irrigation line had been replaced. Between every 24 and 36 inches, multiple kinks and breaks were found, including a hole roughly the size of a pen, which was causing excessive moisture and limiting water flow beyond that point.

Now that those repairs have been completed, the irrigation system at 3309 Cat Brier can be properly assessed. Mr. Lomasney stated that the valve for that address has been located and will be replaced, along with the solenoid, and the system will be flushed out. He confirmed that communication has occurred with both affected homeowners and, once repairs are finalized, the team will notify the residents so they can readjust their sprinkler heads. He explained that the homeowners had previously adjusted their sprinklers to 360-degree coverage, which was unnecessarily watering the street. They were advised to reduce the range, as full coverage was not needed.

Finally, Mr. Lomasney provided a forecast of upcoming landscape maintenance, noting that on June 15th all palm trees located at the pool and the west entrance will be trimmed. This includes hurricane pruning of queen palms and cabbage palms at the Five Oaks entrance and the exit area.

- i. Consideration of South Lake Regrading of Easement Proposal
- ii. Consideration of Root and Soil Reduction Proposal



On MOTION by Mr. Leet, seconded by Mr. Chokanis, with all in favor, South Lake Regrading of Easement Proposal and Root and Soil Reduction Proposal were approved.

- iii. Ratification of Sundrop Tree Removal Proposal
- iv. Ratification of Tree at Ashley Pool Removal and Replacement Proposal
- v. Ratification of a Stuck Valve at the Dog Park Proposal
- vi. Ratification of Dog Park Mainline Repair 4" Proposal

On MOTION by Mr. Leet, seconded by Mr. Chokanis, with all in favor, Sundrop Tree Removal Proposal, Tree at Ashley Pool Removal and Replacement Proposal, Stuck Valve at the Dog Park Proposal, Dog Park Mainline Repair 4" Proposal were ratified.

#### B. Field Inspection Report

Mr. Pabon reviewed the Field Inspection Report with the Board. Mr. Pabon began by addressing the first item on the report, which involved drainage issues related to the pool drains. He then provided an update on the continued mulching of playgrounds within the District, stating that mulch has been completed at five parks, with two parks still remaining in need of mulch.

Mr. Pabon noted that the next project scheduled to begin is addressing the issues at the dog park.

Mr. Leet inquired about item 19 concerning a lighting issue. Mr. Pabon explained that matching the brightness of the existing bulb has proven challenging, and he is still in the process of sourcing a specialized replacement bulb.

Mr. Chokanis asked about item 17 regarding pressure washing and requested a timeline for the work. Mr. Pabon responded that he has designated a field team specifically for pressure washing, with the task scheduled three days per week.

- i. Consideration of Inframark Collection and Removal of Leaf Piles Proposals

The Board considered the Inframark proposals for collection and removal of leaf piles. Following discussion, the item was tabled for further consideration.

Mr. Pabon presented a proposal from SPIES.

On MOTION by Mr. Chokanis, seconded by Mr. Leet, with all in favor, the SPIES Proposal was approved.

#### C. District Engineer

- i. Presentation of Two Quotes Regarding the Community Pool Deck Drainage System

(Drains and Pipes Clean Out)

- ii. Status of the Graden Road Storage Shed
- iii. 7004 Beargrass Road / Alleyway Pavement Repair
- iv. 3169 Dark Sky Drive CDD Open Space Impact
- v. Status of the CDD Maintenance Facility

Mr. Hamstra reviewed the agenda items under his section.

On MOTION by Mr. Leet, seconded by Ms. Phillips, with all in favor, the APS Proposal was approved.

Mr. Hamstra then provided an update on the completion of the shed project. Mr. Hamstra proceeded to discuss the CDD open space impact and concluded with a review of the maintenance facility.

**D. District Counsel**

- i. Review of Resolution 2000-10, Support and Legal Defense of the Board
- ii. Consideration of Resolution 2025-08, Support and Legal Defense of the Board
- iii. Discussion of Parking Ordinance

Mr. Eckert reviewed Resolution 2000-10. Resolution 2000-10 was reviewed and approved with the proposed verbiage.

On MOTION by Mr. Leet, seconded by Ms. Phillips, with all in favor, resolution 2025-08, setting legal defense policy, was adopted.

Mr. Eckert also provided an overview of the parking ordinance and stated that it will be finalized and placed on the next agenda for Board consideration.

**E. District Manager**

- i. Consideration of the Preliminary Budget for Fiscal Year 2026
- ii. Consideration of Resolution 2025-09, Approving Fiscal Year 2026 Preliminary Budget and Setting the Public Hearing

On MOTION by Mr. Leet, seconded by Mr. Chokanis, with all in favor, resolution 2025-09, Approving Fiscal Year 2026 Preliminary Budget and Setting the Public Hearing was adopted. (3-2)

- iii. Review of Registered Voters Letter (2,512)
- iv. Review of the First Quarter Website Audit

The Board reviewed the registered voters letter and the first quarter website audit.

**SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consent Agenda**

- A. Consideration of Minutes from March 27, 2025, Budget Meeting
- B. Consideration of Minutes from March 27, 2025, Regular Meeting
- C. Review of Financial Statements
- D. Acceptance of Check Register #299
- E. Acceptance of Check Register #300

On MOTION by Mr. Leet, seconded by Mr. Chokanis, with all in favor, the consent agenda was approved.

**EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS                      Supervisor Requests**

A discussion regarding the solicitation of District management proposals took place.

On MOTION by Mr. Leet, seconded by Ms. Coronel, with Mr. Chokanis and Ms. Phillips opposed to direct District Counsel to prepare an RFP for management services was approved. (3-2)

**NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS                      Adjournment**

On MOTION by Mr. Leet, seconded by Ms. Williams, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at 10:58 p.m.

  
Secretary/Assistant Secretary

  
Chairman/Vice Chairman